1	KELLY M. KLAUS (State Bar No. 161091)	
2	kelly.klaus@mto.com ROHIT K. SINGLA (State Bar No. 213057)	
3	rohit.singla@mto.com ELIA HERRERA (State Bar No. 293278)	
4	elia.herrera@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
5	560 Mission Street, 27 th Floor San Francisco, California 94105-2907	
6	Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077	
7	GLENN D. POMERANTZ (State Bar No. 11250	03)
8	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com JOHN L. SCHWAB (State Bar No. 301386)	
9	john.schwab@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
10	350 South Grand Avenue. 50 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3426	
11	Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702	
12	Attorneys for Defendants	
13		
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVISION
17	REARDEN LLC and REARDEN MOVA LLC,	Case Nos. 3:17-cv-04006-JST
18	Plaintiffs,	AMENDED ANSWER TO FIRST
19	VS.	AMENDED COMPLAINT
20	THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY, WALT	Judge: Hon. Jon S. Tigar Ctrm: 9 (19 th Floor)
21	DISNEY MOTION PICTURES GROUP, INC., BUENA VISTA HOME	Cum. 7 (17 11001)
22	ENTERTAINMENT, INC., MARVEL STUDIOS LLC, and MANDEVILLE FILMS,	
23	INC.,	
24	Defendants.	
25		J
26		
27		
ر موا		

ANSWER

Defendants THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY; WALT DISNEY MOTION PICTURES GROUP, INC.; BUENA VISTA HOME ENTERTAINMENT, INC.; MARVEL STUDIOS, LLC; and MANDEVILLE FILMS, INC. (collectively, "Disney") hereby answer the First Amended Complaint ("FAC") of Plaintiffs REARDEN LLC and REARDEN MOVA LLC (collectively, "Rearden") as follows:

- 1. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the FAC, Disney avers that *Beauty and the Beast* premiered in the United States on or around March 17, 2017, and that the website www.boxofficemojo.com is the best evidence of its contents. Disney further avers that any article or online posting the FAC purports to quote is the best evidence of its contents. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the accuracy of the contents of any such articles or online postings and on that basis denies any allegation incorporating the same. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 1.
- 2. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the FAC, Disney avers that the actor Dan Stevens portrayed the Beast in *Beauty and the Beast*. Disney further avers that any article or online posting the FAC purports to quote is the best evidence of its contents. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the accuracy of the contents of any such articles or online postings and on that basis denies any allegation incorporating the same. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 2.
- 3. The allegations of Paragraph 3 of the FAC are arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 3.
- 4. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the FAC, Disney avers that DD3 was retained to provide visual effects services in connection with the production of *Guardians of the Galaxy* and *Avengers: Age of Ultron*. Disney further avers that any article or online posting the FAC purports to quote is the best evidence of its contents. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the accuracy of the contents of any such articles or online postings and on that

4

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

24

23

25 26

27 28 basis denies any allegation incorporating the same. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 4 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 4.

- 5. The allegations of Paragraph 5 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 5.
- 6. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 7. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
 - 8. Admitted.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 9 of the FAC, Disney avers that Walt 9. Disney Motion Pictures Group, Inc. is a California corporation, that its principal place of business is 500 S. Buena Vista Street, Burbank, California 91521, and that it is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of The Walt Disney Company. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 9.
- 10. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 10 of the FAC, Disney avers that Buena Vista Home Entertainment, Inc. is a California corporation, that its principal place of business is 500 S. Buena Vista Street, Burbank, California 91521, and that it is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of The Walt Disney Company. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 10.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 11 of the FAC, Disney avers that Marvel 11. Studios, LLC is a California corporation, that its principal place of business is 500 S. Buena Vista Street, Burbank, California 91521. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 11.
- 12. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 12 of the FAC, Disney avers that Mandeville Films, Inc. is a California corporation, that its principal place of business is 100

Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of

25.

Paragraph 49 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.

	50.	Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of
Paragr	aph 50 c	of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.

- Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 51 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 52 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 53 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 54 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 55 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- Disney denies that it hired Mova LLC to provide facial motion capture services in connection with the production of *Tron: Legacy* (2010). Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 56 of the FAC and on that
- Disney denies that the output files that resulted from the use of the MOVA Contour software program "transformed" an actor's face onto the character the Hulk in *The Avengers*. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 57 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 58 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 59 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 60, Disney denies the allegation that it "used" the MOVA Contour system. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 60 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.

27

16

18

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

- 61. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 61, Disney avers that Exhibit 1 to the FAC appears to be a copy of a Certificate of Copyright Registration. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 61 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 62. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required to Paragraph 62 of the FAC.
- 63. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 63, Disney avers that Exhibits 7 and 8 to the FAC appear to be copies of Certificates of Trademark Registration. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 63 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 64. The allegations of Paragraph 64 regarding the "value" of MOVA Contour and its significance for purposes of trade secret law are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent a response is required to such allegations, Disney denies such allegations. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 64 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 65. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 65 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 66. The allegations of Paragraph 66 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 66.
- 67. The allegations of Paragraph 67 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 67.
- 68. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 68 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 69. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 69 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.

Paragraph 82 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.

- 83. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 83 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 84. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 84 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 85. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 85 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 86. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 86 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 87. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 87 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 88. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 88 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 89. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 89 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 90. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 90 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 91. The allegations of Paragraph 91 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 91.
- 92. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 92 of the FAC, Disney avers that the entire email exchange and the trial testimony that Paragraph 92 purports to quote are the best evidence of their contents. Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 92 of the FAC.
- 93. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 93 of the FAC, Disney denies that it was ever in negotiation with Greg LaSalle or DD3 to acquire MOVA Contour assets. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 93 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 94. Disney denies that it was ever in negotiation with Greg LaSalle or DD3 to acquire MOVA Contour assets. Disney denies that it received the document the FAC describes as the

"Rearden Demand Letter." Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 94 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.

- 95. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 95.
- 96. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 96 of the FAC, Disney avers that Marvel produced the motion picture *Guardians of the Galaxy*. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 96 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 96.
 - 97. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 97.
- 98. Disney is without knowledge or information as to truth of the allegations of Paragraph 98 of the FAC regarding what the images reproduced in that paragraph are and on that basis denies the allegations. The remaining allegations are legal arguments or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 98.
- 99. The allegations of Paragraph 99 of the FAC regarding Disney's alleged "supervision and control" of DD3 are legal arguments or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies such allegations. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 99 of the FAC and on that basis denies those allegations.
 - 100. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 100.
- 101. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 101 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 102. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 102 of the FAC, Disney avers that *Guardians of the Galaxy* premiered in the United States on or about July 21, 2014. Disney further avers that the website www.boxofficemojo.com is the best evidence of its contents. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 102.
- 103. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 103 of the FAC, Disney avers that Buena Vista released *Guardians of the Galaxy* on DVD and Blu-ray and to authorized third-party

services in the United States in or around December 9, 2014. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 103.

- 104. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 104 of the FAC, Disney avers that Marvel produced the motion picture *Avengers: Age of Ultron*. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 104 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 104.
 - 105. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 105.
- 106. To the extent Paragraph 106 alleges that Disney reviewed on-screen output of facial capture sessions using the "rawplayer.exe" player, Disney denies such allegations. Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 106.
- 107. The allegations of Paragraph 107 of the FAC regarding Disney's alleged "supervision and control" of DD3 are legal arguments or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies such allegations. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 107 of the FAC and on that basis denies those allegations.
 - 108. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 108.
- 109. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 109 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 110. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 110 of the FAC, Disney avers that *Avengers: Age of Ultron* premiered in the United States on or around April 13, 2015. Disney further avers that the website www.boxofficemojo.com is the best evidence of its contents. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 110.
- 111. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 111 of the FAC, Disney avers that Buena Vista released *Avengers: Age of Ultron* on DVD and Blu-ray and to authorized third-party services in the United States in or around October 2, 2015, and that DVD and Blu-ray sales of that movie in the United States have exceeded \$79 million. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 111 of the FAC.

- 112. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 112 of the FAC, Disney avers that Walt Disney Pictures and Mandeville Films produced *Beauty and the Beast*. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 112 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 112.
 - 113. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 113.
- 114. To the extent Paragraph 114 alleges that Disney reviewed on-screen output of facial capture sessions using the "rawplayer.exe" player, Disney denies such allegations. Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 114 of the FAC.
- 115. The allegations of Paragraph 115 of the FAC regarding Disney's alleged "supervision and control" of DD3 are legal arguments or conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies such allegations. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 115 of the FAC and on that basis denies those allegations.
 - 116. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 116.
- 117. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 117 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 118. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 118 of the FAC, Disney avers that the images reproduced in that paragraph appear to be still images from the "Beauty of a Tale" featurette distributed with the Blu-ray version of Beauty and the Beast. The full content of that featurette is the best evidence of its contents. Disney denies that it removed any Rearden LLC copyright notices from any work containing such notices. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 118 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 119. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 119 of the FAC, Disney avers that the images reproduced in that paragraph appear to be still images from the "Beauty of a Tale" featurette distributed with the Blu-ray version of Beauty and the Beast. The full content of that featurette is the best evidence of its contents. Disney denies that it removed any Rearden LLC copyright notices from any work containing such notices. Disney is without knowledge or

information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 119 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.

- 120. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 120 of the FAC, Disney avers that the images referenced in that paragraph appear to be still images from the "Beauty of a Tale" featurette distributed with the Blu-ray version of Beauty and the Beast. The full content of that featurette is the best evidence of its contents. Disney denies that it removed any Rearden LLC copyright notices from any work containing such notices. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 120 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 121. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 121 of the FAC, Disney avers that the images reproduced in that paragraph appear to be still images from the "Beauty of a Tale" featurette distributed with the Blu-ray version of Beauty and the Beast. The full content of that featurette is the best evidence of its contents. Disney denies that it removed any Rearden LLC copyright notices from any work containing such notices. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 121 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 122. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 122 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 123. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 123 of the FAC, Disney avers that *Beauty* and the Beast premiered in the United States on March 17, 2017. Disney further avers that the website www.boxofficemojo.com is the best evidence of its contents. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 123.
- 124. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 124 of the FAC, Disney avers that Buena Vista released *Beauty and the Beast* on DVD and Blu-ray and to authorized third-party services in the United States in or around June 6, 2017, and that DVD, Blu-ray, and digital sales of that movie in the United States amount to multiple millions of dollars. Buena Vista distributed the "*Beauty of a Tale*" featurette with the DVD and Blu-ray versions of *Beauty and the Beast*, and the full content

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

of that featurette is the best evidence of its contents. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 124 of the FAC.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VICARIOUS AND CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT (DEFENDANTS DISNEY COMPANY, DISNEY MPG, AND MARVEL)

- 125. Paragraph 125 incorporates earlier allegations, so no responsive pleading is required. To the extent any response is required, Disney repeats and incorporates by reference each and every answer contained in Paragraphs 1 through 124 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 126. The allegations of Paragraph 126 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 126.
- 127. The allegations of Paragraph 127 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 127.
- 128. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 128 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 129. The allegations of Paragraph 129 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 129.
- 130. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 130 of the FAC, Disney avers that DD3 was retained to provide visual effects services, including facial motion capture services, in connection with the production of *Guardians of the Galaxy* and *Avengers: Age of Ultron*. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 130 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 130.
- 131. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 131 of the FAC, Disney avers that facial motion performance capture sessions were scheduled with DD3 in connection with the production of *Guardians of the Galaxy* and *Avengers: Age of Ultron*. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 131.

132. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 132 of the FAC, Disney avers that DD3 captured the facial motion performances of actors in connection with the production of *Guardians* of the Galaxy and Avengers: Age of Ultron. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 132.

- director or member or members of the crew on *Guardians of the Galaxy* and *Avengers: Age of Ultron* worked with the actor to direct his facial motions that DD3 captured. Disney's motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint in this action is the best evidence of its contents. Disney further avers that the allegations that Disney supplied a director that "controlled and directed" DD3's use of the MOVA Contour program are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that these allegations require a response, Disney denies them. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 133.
- 134. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 134 of the FAC, Disney avers that a director or member or members of the crew on *Guardians of the Galaxy* and *Avengers: Age of Ultron* worked with the actor to direct his facial motions that DD3 captured. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 134.
 - 135. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 135.
 - 136. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 136.
- 137. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 137 of the FAC, Disney avers that the contracts with DD3 to provide visual effects services are the best evidence of their contents. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 137 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 137.
- 138. The allegations of Paragraph 138 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 138.

- 139. The allegations of Paragraph 139 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 139.
- 140. The allegations of Paragraph 140 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 140.
- 141. The allegations of Paragraph 141 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 141.
- 142. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 142 of the FAC, Disney avers that DD3 was retained to provide visual effects services, including facial motion capture services, in connection with the production of *Guardians of the Galaxy* and *Avengers: Age of Ultron*. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 142 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 142.
- 143. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 143 of the FAC, Disney avers that facial motion performance capture sessions were scheduled with DD3 in connection with the production of *Guardians of the Galaxy* and *Avengers: Age of Ultron*. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 143.
- 144. The allegations of Paragraph 144 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 144.
- 145. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 145 of the FAC, Disney avers that DD3 captured the facial motion performances of actors in connection with the production of *Guardians* of the Galaxy and Avengers: Age of Ultron. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 145.
- 146. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 146 of the FAC, Disney avers that a director or member or members of the crew on *Guardians of the Galaxy* and *Avengers: Age of*

1	Ultron worked with the actor to direct his facial motions that DD3 captured. Disney's motion to
2	dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint in this action is the best evidence of its contents. Disney further
3	avers that the allegations that Disney supplied a director that "controlled and directed" DD3's use
4	of the MOVA Contour program are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response.
5	To the extent that these allegations require a response, Disney denies them. Except as specifically
6	admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 146.
7	147. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 147 of the FAC, Disney avers that a
8	director or member or members of the crew on Guardians of the Galaxy and Avengers: Age of
9	Ultron worked with the actor to direct his facial motions that DD3 captured. Except as
10	specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 147.
11	148. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 148.
12	149. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 149.
13	150. The allegations of Paragraph 150 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as
14	to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the
15	allegations of Paragraph 150.
16	151. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 151 of the FAC, Disney avers that the
17	contracts with DD3 to provide visual effects services are the best evidence of the contents of those
18	contracts. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 151 of the FAC are legal arguments or
19	conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the
20	remaining allegations of Paragraph 151.
21	152. The allegations of Paragraph 152 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as
22	to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the
23	allegations of Paragraph 152.
24	153. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 153.

Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 154.

Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 155.

27

28

25

26

154.

155.

4 5

3

67

8

9

10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: VICARIOUS AND CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT (DEFENDANTS DISNEY COMPANY, DISNEY MPG, AND MANDEVILLE)

- 156. Paragraph 156 incorporates earlier allegations, so no responsive pleading is required. To the extent any response is required, Disney repeats and incorporates by reference each and every answer contained in Paragraphs 1 through 155 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 157. The allegations of Paragraph 157 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 157.
- 158. The allegations of Paragraph 158 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 158.
- 159. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 159 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 160. The allegations of Paragraph 160 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 160.
- 161. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 161 of the FAC, Disney avers that DD3 was retained to provide visual effects services, including facial motion capture services, in connection with the production of *Beauty and the Beast*. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 161 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 161.
- 162. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 162 of the FAC, Disney avers that facial motion performance capture sessions were scheduled with DD3 in connection with the production of *Beauty and the Beast*. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 162.
- 163. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 163 of the FAC, Disney avers that DD3 captured the facial motion performances of an actor in connection with the production of *Beauty*

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

and the Beast. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 163.

- 164. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 164 of the FAC, Disney avers that a director or member or members of the crew on Beauty and the Beast worked with the actor to direct his facial motions that DD3 captured. Disney's motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint in this action is the best evidence of its contents. Disney further avers that the allegations that Disney supplied a director that "controlled and directed" DD3's use of the MOVA Contour program are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that these allegations require a response, Disney denies them. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 164.
- Answering the allegations of Paragraph 165 of the FAC, Disney avers that a 165. director or member or members of the crew on Beauty and the Beast worked with the actor to direct his facial motions that DD3 captured. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 165.
 - Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 166. 166.
 - 167. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 167.
- 168. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 168 of the FAC, Disney avers that the contracts with DD3 to provide visual effects services are the best evidence of their contents. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 168 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 168.
- The allegations of Paragraph 169 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 169.
- 170. The allegations of Paragraph 170 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 170.
 - 171. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 171.

- 172. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 172.
- 173. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 173 of the FAC, Disney avers that DD3 was retained to provide visual effects services, including facial motion capture services, in connection with the production of *Guardians of the Galaxy* and *Avengers: Age of Ultron*. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 173 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 173.
- 174. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 174 of the FAC, Disney avers that facial motion performance capture sessions were scheduled with DD3 in connection with the production of *Beauty and the Beast*. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 174.
- 175. The allegations of Paragraph 175 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 175.
- 176. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 176 of the FAC, Disney avers that DD3 captured the facial motion performances of an actor in connection with the production of *Beauty* and the Beast. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 176.
- 177. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 177 of the FAC, Disney avers that a director or member or members of the crew on *Beauty and the Beast* worked with the actor to direct his facial motions that DD3 captured. Disney's motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint in this action is the best evidence of its contents. Disney further avers that the allegations that Disney supplied a director that "controlled and directed" DD3's use of the MOVA Contour program are legal arguments or conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent that these allegations require a response, Disney denies them. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 177.
- 178. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 178 of the FAC, Disney avers that a director or member or members of the crew on *Beauty and the Beast* worked with the actor to

1	direct his facial motions that DD3 captured. Except as specifically admitted herein, Disney denies
2	the allegations of Paragraph 178.
3	179. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 179.
4	180. Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 180.
5	181. Answering the allegations of Paragraphs 181 of the FAC, Disney avers that the
6	contracts with DD3 to provide visual effects services are the best evidence of their contents. The
7	remaining allegations of Paragraphs 181 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions that do no
8	require a response. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the remaining allegations
9	of Paragraphs 181.
10	182. The allegations of Paragraph 182 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as
11	to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the
12	allegations of Paragraph 182.
13	183. The allegations of Paragraph 183 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as
14	to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the
15	allegations of Paragraph 183.
16	184. The allegations of Paragraph 184 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as
17	to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the
18	allegations of Paragraph 184.
19	185. The allegations of Paragraph 185 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as
20	to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the
21	allegations of Paragraph 185.
22	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:
23	DIRECT AND ACTIVELY-INDUCED INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,605,861
24	(DEFENDANT DISNEY MPG)
25	186. Paragraph 186 incorporates earlier allegations, so no responsive pleading is
26	required. To the extent any response is required, Disney repeats and incorporates by reference
27	each and every answer contained in Paragraphs 1 through 185 above as if fully set forth herein.

- 1	
1	187. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
2	to Paragraph 187 of the FAC.
3	188. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
4	to Paragraph 188 of the FAC.
5	189. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
6	to Paragraph 189 of the FAC.
7	190. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
8	to Paragraph 190 of the FAC.
9	191. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
10	to Paragraph 191 of the FAC.
11	192. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
12	to Paragraph 192 of the FAC.
13	193. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
14	to Paragraph 193 of the FAC.
15	194. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
16	to Paragraph 194 of the FAC.
17	195. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
18	to Paragraph 195 of the FAC.
19	196. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
20	to Paragraph 196 of the FAC.
21	197. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
22	to Paragraph 197 of the FAC.
23	198. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
24	to Paragraph 198 of the FAC.
25	199. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
26	to Paragraph 199 of the FAC.
27	200. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is requir
28	to Paragraph 200 of the FAC.

- 1	
1	201. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
2	to Paragraph 201 of the FAC.
3	202. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
4	to Paragraph 202 of the FAC.
5	203. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
6	to Paragraph 203 of the FAC.
7	204. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
8	to Paragraph 204 of the FAC.
9	205. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
10	to Paragraph 205 of the FAC.
11	206. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
12	to Paragraph 206 of the FAC.
13	207. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
14	to Paragraph 207 of the FAC.
15	208. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
16	to Paragraph 208 of the FAC.
17	209. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
18	to Paragraph 209 of the FAC.
19	210. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
20	to Paragraph 210 of the FAC.
21	165. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
22	to the misnumbered Paragraph 165 of the FAC.
23	211. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
24	to Paragraph 211 of the FAC.
25	212. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
26	to Paragraph 212 of the FAC.
27	213. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required

to Paragraph 213 of the FAC.

1	214.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
2	to Paragraph	214 of the FAC.
3	215.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
4	to Paragraph	215 of the FAC.
5	216.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
6	to Paragraph	216 of the FAC.
7	217.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
8	to Paragraph	217 of the FAC.
9	DIRECT	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: AND ACTIVELY-INDUCED INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT 7,567,293 (DEFENDANT DISNEY MPG)
11	218.	Paragraph 218 incorporates earlier allegations, so no responsive pleading is
12	required. To	the extent any response is required, Disney repeats and incorporates by reference
13	each and ever	ry answer contained in Paragraphs 1 through 217 above as if fully set forth herein.
14	219.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
15	to Paragraph	219 of the FAC.
16	220.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
17	to Paragraph	220 of the FAC.
18	221.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
19	to Paragraph	221 of the FAC.
20	222.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
21	to Paragraph	222 of the FAC.
22	223.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
23	to Paragraph	223 of the FAC.
24	224.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
25	to Paragraph	224 of the FAC.
26	225.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
27	to Paragraph	225 of the FAC.

- 1		
1	226.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
2	to Paragraph	226 of the FAC.
3	227.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
4	to Paragraph	227 of the FAC.
5	228.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
6	to Paragraph	228 of the FAC.
7	229.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
8	to Paragraph	229 of the FAC.
9	230.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
0	to Paragraph	230 of the FAC.
1	231.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
2	to Paragraph	231 of the FAC.
3	232.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
4	to Paragraph	232 of the FAC.
5	233.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
6	to Paragraph	233 of the FAC.
17	234.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
8	to Paragraph	234 of the FAC.
9	235.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
20	to Paragraph	235 of the FAC.
21	236.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
22	to Paragraph	236 of the FAC.
23	237.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
24	to Paragraph	237 of the FAC.
25	238.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
26	to Paragraph	238 of the FAC.
27	239.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required

28 to Paragraph 239 of the FAC.

1	240.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
2	to Paragraph	240 of the FAC.
3	241.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
4	to Paragraph	241 of the FAC.
5	242.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
6	to Paragraph	242 of the FAC.
7	243.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
8	to Paragraph	243 of the FAC.
9	244.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
10	to Paragraph	244 of the FAC.
11	245.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
12	to Paragraph	245 of the FAC.
13	246.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
14	to Paragraph	246 of the FAC.
15	247.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
16	to Paragraph	247 of the FAC.
17	186.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
18	to the second	Paragraph 186 of the FAC.
19	248.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
20	to Paragraph	248 of the FAC.
21	249.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
22	to Paragraph	249 of the FAC.
23	250.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
24	to Paragraph	250 of the FAC.
25	251.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
26	to Paragraph	251 of the FAC.
27	252.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required

28 to Paragraph 252 of the FAC.

1	253.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
2	to Paragraph 2	253 of the FAC.
3	254.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
4	to Paragraph 2	254 of the FAC.
5		FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION DIRECT AND ACTIVELY INDUCED INFRINCEMENT OF
6		DIRECT AND ACTIVELY-INDUCED INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,548,272
7		(DEFENDANT DISNEY MPG)
8	255.	Paragraph 255 incorporates earlier allegations, so no responsive pleading is
9	required. To	the extent any response is required, Disney repeats and incorporates by reference
10	each and ever	y answer contained in Paragraphs 1 through 254 above as if fully set forth herein.
11	256.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
12	to Paragraph 2	256 of the FAC.
13	257.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
14	to Paragraph 2	257 of the FAC.
15	258.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
16	to Paragraph 2	258 of the FAC.
17	259.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
18	to Paragraph 2	259 of the FAC.
19	260.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
20	to Paragraph 2	260 of the FAC.
21	261.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
22	to Paragraph 2	261 of the FAC.
23	262.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
24	to Paragraph 2	262 of the FAC.
25	263.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
26	to Paragraph 2	263 of the FAC.
27	264.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
28	to Paragraph 2	264 of the FAC.

1	265.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
2	to Paragraph	265 of the FAC.
3	266.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
4	to Paragraph	266 of the FAC.
5	267.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
6	to Paragraph	267 of the FAC.
7	268.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
8	to Paragraph	268 of the FAC.
9	269.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
10	to Paragraph	269 of the FAC.
11	270.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
12	to Paragraph	270 of the FAC.
13	271.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
14	to Paragraph	271 of the FAC.
15	272.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
16	to Paragraph	272 of the FAC.
17	273.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
18	to Paragraph	273 of the FAC.
19	274.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
20	to Paragraph	274 of the FAC.
21	275.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
22	to Paragraph	275 of the FAC.
23	276.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
24	to Paragraph	276 of the FAC.
25	277.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
26	to Paragraph	277 of the FAC.
27	278.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
28	to Paragraph	278 of the FAC.

- 1			
1	279.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
2	to Paragraph 279 of the FAC.		
3	280.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
4	to Paragraph 280 of the FAC.		
5	281.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
6	to Paragraph 281 of the FAC.		
7	282.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
8	to Paragraph 2	282 of the FAC.	
9	283.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
10	to Paragraph 2	283 of the FAC.	
11	284.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
12	to Paragraph 2	284 of the FAC.	
13	285.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
14	to Paragraph 285 of the FAC.		
15	286.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
16	to Paragraph 2	286 of the FAC.	
17	287.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
18	to Paragraph 2	287 of the FAC.	
19	288.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
20	to Paragraph 288 of the FAC.		
21	289.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
22	to Paragraph 2	289 of the FAC.	
23	290.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
24	to Paragraph	290 of the FAC.	
25			
26			
27			
28			

1 SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION: DIRECT AND ACTIVELY-INDUCED INFRINGEMENT OF 2 U.S. PATENT NO. 8,659,668 (DEFENDANT DISNEY MPG) 3 4 291. Paragraph 291 incorporates earlier allegations, so no responsive pleading is 5 required. To the extent any response is required, Disney repeats and incorporates by reference 6 each and every answer contained in Paragraphs 1 through 290 above as if fully set forth herein. 7 292. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 8 to Paragraph 292 of the FAC. 9 293. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 10 to Paragraph 293 of the FAC. 11 294. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 12 to Paragraph 294 of the FAC. 13 295. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 14 to Paragraph 295 of the FAC. 15 Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 296. 16 to Paragraph 296 of the FAC. 17 297. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 18 to Paragraph 297 of the FAC. 19 298. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 20 to Paragraph 298 of the FAC. 21 Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 299. 22 to Paragraph 299 of the FAC. 23 Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 24 to Paragraph 300 of the FAC. 25 Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required to Paragraph 301 of the FAC. 26 27 302. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 28 to Paragraph 302 of the FAC.

- 1		
1	303.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
2	to Paragraph 3	303 of the FAC.
3	304.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
4	to Paragraph 3	304 of the FAC.
5	305.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
6	to Paragraph 3	305 of the FAC.
7	306.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
8	to Paragraph 3	306 of the FAC.
9	307.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
10	to Paragraph 3	307 of the FAC.
11	308.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
12	to Paragraph 3	308 of the FAC.
13	309.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
14	to Paragraph 3	309 of the FAC.
15	310.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
16	to Paragraph 3	310 of the FAC.
17	311.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
18	to Paragraph 3	311 of the FAC.
19	312.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
20	to Paragraph 3	312 of the FAC.
21	313.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
22	to Paragraph 3	313 of the FAC.
23	314.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
24	to Paragraph 3	314 of the FAC.
25	315.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required
26	to Paragraph 3	315 of the FAC.
27	316.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required

to Paragraph 316 of the FAC.

1	317.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
2	to Paragraph 317 of the FAC.		
3	318.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
4	to Paragraph 318 of the FAC.		
5	319.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
6	to Paragraph 319 of the FAC.		
7	320.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
8	to Paragraph	320 of the FAC.	
9	321.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
10	to Paragraph	321 of the FAC.	
11	322.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
12	to Paragraph	322 of the FAC.	
13	323.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
14	to Paragraph	323 of the FAC.	
15	324.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
16	to Paragraph	324 of the FAC.	
17	325.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
18	to Paragraph	325 of the FAC.	
19	326.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
20	to Paragraph 326 of the FAC.		
21	327.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
22	to Paragraph 327 of the FAC.		
23	328.	Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required	
24	to Paragraph	328 of the FAC.	
25			
26			
27			
28			

1 SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION: DIRECT AND ACTIVELY-INDUCED INFRINGEMENT OF 2 U.S. PATENT NO. 8,207,963 (DEFENDANT DISNEY MPG) 3 4 329. Paragraph 329 incorporates earlier allegations, so no responsive pleading is 5 required. To the extent any response is required, Disney repeats and incorporates by reference 6 each and every answer contained in Paragraphs 1 through 328 above as if fully set forth herein. 7 330. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 8 to Paragraph 330 of the FAC. 9 331. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 10 to Paragraph 331 of the FAC. 11 332. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 12 to Paragraph 332 of the FAC. 13 333. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 14 to Paragraph 333 of the FAC. 15 Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 334. 16 to Paragraph 334 of the FAC. 17 335. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 18 to Paragraph 335 of the FAC. 19 336. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 20 to Paragraph 336 of the FAC. 21 337. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 22 to Paragraph 337 of the FAC. 23 338. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 24 to Paragraph 338 of the FAC. 25 Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required to Paragraph 339 of the FAC. 26 27 340. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required 28 to Paragraph 340 of the FAC.

- 1			
1	341. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
2	to Paragraph 341 of the FAC.		
3	342. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
4	to Paragraph 342 of the FAC.		
5	343. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
6	to Paragraph 343 of the FAC.		
7	344. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
8	to Paragraph 344 of the FAC.		
9	345. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
10	to Paragraph 345 of the FAC.		
11	346. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
12	to Paragraph 346 of the FAC.		
13	347. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
14	to Paragraph 347 of the FAC.		
15	348. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
16	to Paragraph 348 of the FAC.		
17	349. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
18	to Paragraph 349 of the FAC.		
19	350. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
20	to Paragraph 350 of the FAC.		
21	351. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
22	to Paragraph 351 of the FAC.		
23	352. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
24	to Paragraph 352 of the FAC.		
25	353. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
26	to Paragraph 353 of the FAC.		
27	354. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		

to Paragraph 354 of the FAC.

1	355. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
2	to Paragraph 355 of the FAC.		
3	356. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
4	to Paragraph 356 of the FAC.		
5	249. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
6	to the misnumbered Paragraph 249 of the FAC.		
7	357. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
8	to Paragraph 357 of the FAC.		
9	358. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
10	to Paragraph 358 of the FAC.		
11	359. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
12	to Paragraph 359 of the FAC.		
13	360. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
14	to Paragraph 360 of the FAC.		
15	361. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
16	to Paragraph 361 of the FAC.		
17	362. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
18	to Paragraph 362 of the FAC.		
19	363. Plaintiffs have dismissed their patent claims, and therefore no response is required		
20	to Paragraph 363 of the FAC.		
21 22	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION: TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT (DEFENDANTS DISNEY COMPANY, DISNEY MPG, AND BUENA VISTA)		
23	364. Paragraph 364 incorporates earlier allegations, so no responsive pleading is		
24	required. To the extent any response is required, Disney repeats and incorporates by reference		
25	each and every answer contained in Paragraphs 1 through 363 above as if fully set forth herein.		
26	365. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of		
27	Paragraph 365 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations		

- 366. The allegations of Paragraph 366 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 366.
- 367. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 367 of the FAC and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 368. The allegations of Paragraph 368 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 368.
- 369. The allegations of Paragraph 369 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 369.
- 370. Answering the allegations of Paragraph 370 of the FAC, Disney avers that the motion picture *Guardians of the Galaxy* is the best evidence of its content. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 370 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 370.
- 371. The allegation of Paragraph 371 of the FAC that a statement by Mr. Stevens to the effect that "facial capture 'was done separately using a technology called MOVA" was a "use" of any trademark or service mark within the meaning of the trademark laws is a legal argument or conclusion as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies that any such statement by Mr. Stevens was a "use" of any trademark or service mark within the meaning of the trademark laws. Disney is without knowledge or information as to the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 371 and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 372. The allegations of Paragraph 372 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 372.

1	373.	The allegations of Paragraph 373 of the FAC are legal arguments or conclusions as	
2	to which no re	esponse is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney denies the	
3	allegations of	Paragraph 373.	
4	374.	Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 374.	
5	375.	Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 375.	
6	376.	Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 376.	
7	269.	The allegations of misnumbered Paragraph 269 of the FAC are legal arguments or	
8	conclusions as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Disney		
9	denies the allegations of misnumbered Paragraph 269.		
10	377.	Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 377.	
11	378.	Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 378.	
12	379.	Disney denies the allegations of Paragraph 379.	
13		AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	
14	Disney sets forth its affirmative defenses. By enumerating the subjects below as		
15	affirmative defenses, Disney does not concede that any such matter is an affirmative defense, as		
16	opposed to an element of Rearden's claim as to which it bears the burden of proof. Disney does		
17	not assume the burden of proving any fact, issue, or element of a cause of action where such		
18	burden properly belongs to Rearden. Moreover, nothing stated herein is intended to or shall be		
19	construed as a	an acknowledgement that any particular issue or subject matter necessarily is relevant	
20	to Rearden's allegations.		
21	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22		(Failure to State a Claim)	
23	1.	Rearden's FAC fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.	
24		SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
25		(Statute of Limitations)	
26	2.	Rearden's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of	
27		limitations, including without limitation 17 U.S.C. § 507(b).	
28			

1	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	(Unclean Hands)	
3	Rearden's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine	e of unclean hands.
4	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
5	(Equitable Estoppel)	
6	4. Rearden's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine	e of equitable
7	estoppel.	
8	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
9	(Waiver)	
10	5. Rearden's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine	e of waiver.
11	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
12	(Nominative Fair Use)	
13	6. Rearden's trademark infringement claims are barred, in whole of	or in part, by the
14	doctrine of nominative fair use.	
15	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
16	(Innocent Infringement)	
17	7. Rearden's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because, to the	extent there was
18	any infringement, the conduct of the Defendants that is the subj	ect of the FAC was
19	innocent and not willful.	
20	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
21	(Implied License)	
22	8. Rearden's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine	e of implied license.
23	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
24	(De Minimis Infringement)	
25	9. Rearden's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because if ther	e was any
26	infringement, it was de minimis.	
27	RESERVATION OF ADDITIONAL DEFENSE	S
28	3	

- 1			
1		Defendants reserve the r	ight to assert additional affirmative defenses as they
2		become known through	investigation or discovery.
3	DEFENDANTS' PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
4	WHE	REFORE, Defendants pra	y as follows:
5	1.	That Rearden take nothing	ng by reason of its FAC;
6	2.	That the FAC be dismiss	sed with prejudice and judgment entered in favor of
7	Defendants;		
8	3.	That Defendants be awar	rded their costs and expenses of suit, including reasonable
9	attorneys' fee	es; and	
10	4.	For such other and further	er relief as the Court deems just and proper.
11			
12	DATED: Au	igust 8, 2018	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
13			Dv. / / 7/ 11 M 7/1
14			By: /s/ Kelly M. Klaus KELLY M. KLAUS
15			Attorneys for Defendants
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22 23			
23 24			
2 4 25			
25 26			
20 27			
28			
-0			AMENDED ANSWED TO EIDST AMENDEI